U.S. District Court Western District of Louisiana Robert H. Shemwell, Clerk RECEIVED Date: <u>06-15-06</u>

Date: **JUNE 15, 2006** 

By M. Cassanova

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

	V.	ORDER (	OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	KENYOUN GILYARD  Defendant	CASE NO	06-5112M-01
	ecordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a dendant pending trial in this case.	letention hearing has been held. I conclude	de that the following facts require the detention
[ ] (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S. would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3145(a an offense for which the maximum sentence is life in an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonm	rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) the half.  (4).  mprisonment or death.	
[ ] (2) [ ] (3) [ ] (4)	a felony was committed after the defendant had been correctly or comparable state or local offenses.  The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (dain finding (1).  Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the	he defendant was on release pending trial ate of conviction) (release of the defendar ption that no condition or combination of	I for a federal, state, or local offense.  In the from imprisonment for the offense described conditions will reasonably assure the safety of
	Alte	rnative Findings (A)	
[X] (1) [X] (2)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant hat [X] for which a maximum term of imprisonment of t [ ] under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the presumption estable assure the appearance of the defendant as required and	ten years or more is prescribed in <u>T</u> tished by finding 1 that no condition of	
	Alte	rnative Findings (B)	
[ ] (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
[ ] (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s	safety of another person or the communit	y.
	Part II - Written S	Statement of Reasons for Detention	
The Go crack a history, in state	d that the credible testimony and information submitted at the vernment presented strong evidence at the hearing that on the powder cocaine to a cooperating witness. He has never no assets and no liabilities. He has a fairly significant critical for second degree murder. The court finds that durations will assure the appearance of the Defendant or presented.	on at least 3 occasions, Defendant mad r married and has 3 children. He is 26 iminal history, mostly for misdemeand e to the nature and circumstances of the	e sales of significant quantities of both years old and has no employment or offenses, but there is a case pending he instant offense that no combination
	Part III - Dir	rections Regarding Detention	
extent pra for privat	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Genera cticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being be consultation with defense counsel. On order of court of the United States man	al or his designated representative for conf held in custody pending appeal. The defer United States or on request of an attorney	ndant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for the Government, the person in charge of the

MARK L. HORNSBY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE